



CHAIN

Report 2006-7

Interpersonal Violence and Negative Health Behaviors

Barbara Bennet
Peter Messeri

Columbia University
Mailman School of Public Health
In collaboration with Medical and Health
Research Association of New York,
the NYC Department of Health and Mental
Hygiene, the Westchester Department of
Health, and the NY Health & Human Services
HIV Planning Council

**HRSA Contract H89 HA 0015-14
report submitted December 20, 2006
© 2006 The Trustees of Columbia
University**

C.H.A.I.N. REPORT

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A Technical Review Team (TRT) provides oversight for the CHAIN Project. In addition to Peter Messeri, PhD, Dan Schluter, PhD, Gunjeong Lee, PhD, Barbara Bennet, MPH and Angela Aidala, PhD, of Columbia University's Mailman School of Public Health, TRT members include Mary Ann Chiasson, DrPH, MHRA (chair); Clariss Silva, NYCDOHMH, Judy Sackoff, PhD, NYCDOHMH; JoAnn Hilger, NYCDOHMH; Julie Lehane, PhD, Westchester County DOH; and Justine Dang, MPH MHRA. Additionally, the Tri-County CHAIN project regularly consults with Tom Petro, Julie Lehane, Basil Reyes, and Renee Recchia of the Westchester County Department of Health.

We are particularly grateful to all the participants in the Tri-County and New York City CHAIN Projects who share their time and their experiences with us. We take their trust in us seriously, and hope that our project serves to amplify the voice of the HIV-positive community in New York City, Putnam, Rockland, and Westchester counties.

CHAIN Project

Peter Messeri, PhD	Principal Investigator
Angela Aidala, PhD	Co-Investigator
Gunjeong Lee, PhD	Co-Investigator
Daniel Schluter, PhD	Co-Investigator
Barbara Bennet, MPH	Field Director
Sara Berk, MPH	Data Manager
Lauren Jewell	Office Manager & Research Assistant
Evelyn Chodhury, Dave Hunter	Data Editors
Sandra Smartt	Administrator

Interviewers: Nathaniel Cavalletto, Jeanne Campbell, Sofia Luyando, Phyllis Moorner, David Norman, Connie Pun, Rose Rivera, Elizabeth Romero, Sonia Severe and Gloria Thomas

This research was supported by grant number H89 HA 0015-16 from the US Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), HIV/AIDS Bureau with the supported of the HIV Health and Human Services Planning Council, through the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and the Medical and Health Research Association of New York City, Inc. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the researchers and do not necessarily represent the official views of the U.S. Health Resources and Services Administration, the City of New York, or the Medical and Health Research Association of New York.

Introduction

Exposure to interpersonal violence is often coupled with negative health consequences such as poor mental and physical health, substance abuse, homelessness and increased risk of STDs (1,2,3,12). The effects of these and other unfavorable health outcomes associated with violence are often intensified for people living with HIV/AIDS (7, 11, 20). The association between HIV risk and the experience of interpersonal violence, adult IPV, has been well documented in literature and research on the last 15 years of the AIDS epidemic (1,2,3,7, 29,31). According to recent CDC literature, women who experience both sexual and physical violence are significantly more likely to contract a sexually transmitted disease including HIV (5). Furthermore, there exists an abundance of literature to support the concept that physical or sexual assault increases an individual's risk for HIV infection through a number of indirect routes such as drug use and sexual risk behaviors (1,7,9, 36). El-Bassel and colleagues examined the use of illicit drugs and the prevalence of IPV among women clients in a methadone maintenance clinic(41). They concluded that the relationship existing between adult IPV and HIV infection appears to be deeply entangled with other factors such as illicit drug use and poor mental health status.

The coexistence of interpersonal violence and HIV infection suggests an intricate multi-factor relationship, which may influence negative health outcomes and poor health behaviors. Research suggests that the occurrence of adult IPV may adversely affect HIV care through continuing mental health problems, increased vulnerability to illicit drug use and heightened sensitivity factors impeding access to medical care. This paper assesses the prevalence of adult interpersonal violence in the CHAIN cohorts, both New York City and Tri-County. Secondly, this study examines the relationships between adult IPV and poor health care as measured by non-adherence to HIV medications. Based on our literature review, poor mental health, illicit drug use and expressed barriers to care are investigated as intervening variables in the pathway between adult IPV, and adherence to medications(4,8,16, 25, 28, 30).

Key Findings:

- Compared to heterosexual men, men who have sex with men and women experienced higher prevalence of adult interpersonal violence, IPV (Table 1).
- IPV declined with increasing age but was unrelated to ethnicity, education, housing stability, or cohort.
- IPV was positively associated with poor mental health, current drug use, and perceived barriers to medical care (Table 1).
- Separating IPV by partner and non partner assault, women were significantly more likely to report IPV by a partner compared to heterosexual men and MSM. Prevalence of non-partner violence was similar across gender groups (Table 2).
- IPV is associated with nonadherence to medication, as are current drug use and poor mental health functioning. Men who have sex with men were more likely to be adherent to medications as compared to women or heterosexual men (Table 3).

- Neither current drug use or mental health functioning mediate the effects of IPV on medication. Expressed barriers to care was not associated with adherence to medications (Table 3).

Background

As adult IPV emerges as a global health concern, researchers have expanded IPV studies to include men as victims of IPV^(5, 12, 31,42,43,44). Feldman and Diaz (2006), Greenwood et al. (2002) and Relf et al. (2004) present evidence linking adult IPV among men who have sex with men to increased sexual risk behaviors and decreased self efficacy^(42, 43, 44). This paper reflects this trend in research that adult IPV is not restricted to one gender, but rather has lasting effects on both men and women.

Research has so far not thoroughly investigated the impact of IPV on health care. HIV+ adults invariably contend with complicated medical treatments and demanding medication regimes such as highly active anti-retroviral treatment (HAART). Adult IPV might exacerbate the complications in adhering to rigid drug therapies. Recent research suggests an association among adult IPV, medication regimes and patient provider relations^(7,32,35). Other research indicated the pathways leading to non-adherence to HIV medications are affected by these variables and others namely physical functioning and appropriate medical care^(8,28,20, 38). Investigations involving IPV and health care suggest that women with a history of abuse characterize their relationship with providers as less satisfactory than women without a history of abuse^(7,32,34). Cohen and colleagues(2004) assert that a history of violence and illicit drug use decrease the likelihood of using HIV medications such as HAART, and increase the likelihood of dissatisfaction with medical care⁽⁷⁾.

Methods:

The CHAIN study follows a cohort of randomly selected HIV+ adults in New York City and the three surrounding counties of Westchester, Rockland, and Putnam known as Tri-County Data are collected through in-person interviews that obtain in depth information on the cohort's physical and mental well being, in addition to the need for and use of health and social services. The data reported here were collected during the second round of interviews for the 2002 New York City and Tri-County cohorts.

Measuring IPV

The term interpersonal violence, IPV, refers to the occurrence of physical or sexual assault perpetrated by one or more individuals on another^(3,5,10,12). The World Health Organization defines interpersonal violence as violence that is not intended to further the aims of any formally defined group or cause. It is the use of force or power, which may be threatened or actual, that has a high likelihood of resulting in death, injury, physical or psychological harm or deprivation^(5,11). Interpersonal violence includes childhood encounters as well as adult violent

experiences; however, for this paper IPV is limited to physical assault as an adult which was perpetrated by a partner or non partner

The round 2 CHAIN interview included questions regarding the lifetime prevalence of trauma, and questions about the experience of interpersonal violence. These items were originally a component of the Client Diagnostic Questionnaire, or CDQ, which was developed by researchers at Columbia University and is available through the Health Resource Service Association's web site (17). Questions assessing a lifetime prevalence of assault by a partner and assault by a non partner were adapted for use in the CHAIN follow up interviews. Measures assessing the incidence of violence in the last 12 months were not used in the analysis as the numbers in our sample were too small. See Appendix 1 for the exact questions used to measure adult IPV in this study.

Two questions elicited information on IPV. One question asked about adult physical assault by a partner and the other asked about adult physical assault by a non partner. Each item measured the prevalence of adult interpersonal violence. The measure of IPV exposure used in this study, combined types of assault into a single variable labeled "IPV." The rationale for combining these two items was that respondents may not accurately categorize a perpetrator as a partner or non partner, therefore the effect of exposure would be reduced if the variables were kept separate.

Other Independent variables

Gender and sexual behavior was divided into women, heterosexual men, and men who have sex with men, or MSM. The logic of this approach stems from the notion that MSM are at greater risk for experiencing adult IPV as compared heterosexual men. However, their risk of adult IPV is most likely less than that of women.

Housing stability was measured for the six months prior to interview. Respondents who indicated they were staying with friends, relatives or had stayed at least one night on the street, or in an SRO, or in jail/prison were categorized as being unstably housed.

Mental health was measured using the Mental Health Component Summary Scale, or MCS, of the SF36. The MCS is a set of questions assessing present mental health, and it is a sub set of the MOS, or Medical Outcomes Scale (21). This is a nationally recognized scale consisting of a standardized set of questions that correlates with stages of disease (26,27). MCS was measured on a continuous scale, and the data are presented as both continuous and categorized for descriptive purposes. Scoring below 42 on the MCS is indicative of poor mental health. For analysis purposes, scores were divided into above and below 42.

Drug history Participants were considered to be current drug users if they self reported using either crack, cocaine, heroin, other opiates, amphetamines, tranquilizers, or some lesser known street drugs five or more times in the last six months. A past drug history was defined as using any of the aforementioned substances five or more time in their life, but not in the last six months.

Barriers to care were defined as a delay in or an avoidance of medical treatment in the

last six months. Respondents were considered to have a barrier to care if they indicated they delayed or did not get the care they needed because of a logistical or staff related obstacle.

Health Care Outcomes

Adherence to medications. Respondents currently taking HIV medications were categorized as non adherent if in the previous two days they were off schedule or missed any of their HIV pills, or if within the last six months they sometimes skip a medication dose or they forgot to take their pills.

Results

Interpersonal violence is reported by 36 percent of the combined Tri-County and 2002 NYC cohorts. Prevalence of interpersonal violence is similar between the two CHAIN cohorts with 36 percent of Tri-County Participants and 37 percent New York City participants reporting IPV. Consistent with the research literature, IPV is much more prevalent among women than men and among MSM than among heterosexual men. Almost half of women in the CHAIN cohorts, report interpersonal violence (48%), compared to approximately one of every three men (32%) who have sex with men and one of every four (23%) heterosexual men. In addition to sexual orientation, age is the only other socio-demographic variable to exhibit systematic association with IPV. Prevalence of IPV declines with increasing age, decreasing from half of all respondents between the ages of 20 to 34 to 30 percent among those aged 50 and older.

The CHAIN cohort reported roughly equal rates of partner and non partner IPV (see Table 2). Women are over twice as likely as men to report partner IPV. In contrast there are minimal differences in rates of non-partner IPV.

All three of the variables proposed to mediate the relationship between IPV and health care outcomes were statistically significant in the hypothesized direction in cross tab analysis. Persons reporting IPV were more likely to have poor mental health functioning, be a current drug user and to report experiencing a barrier to receiving medical services (Table 1).

Table 3 report results of a logistic regression analysis to explore the relationship between IPV and adherence to anti retroviral medications. IPV is strongly associated with reduced medication adherence. The effects of IPV remain largely unaltered after we add to the equations the proposed mediating factors of mental health functioning, drug use history and expressed barriers to care.

Table 1. Prevalence of adult interpersonal violence by socio demographics

	Total Sample column percentages		% Experienced IPV row percentages	
	n	%	n	%
	802	100%	292	36%
IPV risk				
<i>Female</i>	367	46%	177	48%***
<i>Heterosexual male</i>	286	36%	67	23%
<i>MSM</i>	149	19%	48	32%
Age group				
<i>20 - 34 years</i>	55	7%	27	49%**
<i>35- 49 year</i>	474	59%	183	38%
<i>50 years +</i>	276	34%	82	30%
Ethnicity				
<i>Black</i>	412	51%	140	34%
<i>White</i>	108	13%	42	39%
<i>Latino</i>	270	34%	103	38%
<i>Other</i>	12	2%	7	58%
Educational level				
<i>Less than high school</i>	319	40%	123	39%
<i>High school or higher</i>	486	60%	169	35%
Housing stability				
<i>Stable housing</i>	699	87%	255	36%
<i>Unstable or doubled-up</i>	106	13%	37	35%
Mental health score				
<i>42 and above</i>	374	46%	105	28%
<i>below 42</i>	431	54%	187	43%***
Drug use history				
<i>never</i>	202	25%	64	32%
<i>past</i>	420	52%	150	36%
<i>current</i>	183	23%	78	43%+
Expressed barriers to care				
<i>yes</i>	96	12%	50	34%
<i>no</i>	709	88%	242	52%**
Cohort				
<i>NYC</i>	490	61%	180	37%
<i>Tri-County</i>	315	39%	112	36%

Note: + p<.10 *p<.05 ** p<.001 *** p<.000

Table 2. Adult IPV				
	IPV by a partner row percentages		IPV by non partner row percentages	
	n	%	n	%
TOTAL SAMPLE	203	25%	172	22%
Gender				
<i>Female</i>	149	40%***	86	23%
<i>Heterosexual male</i>	54	9%	53	19%
<i>MSM</i>	29	19%	35	23%

Note: + p<.10 *p<.05 ** p<.001 *** p<.000

Table 3. Multivariate models of predictors of adherence to medications

n=619	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Never experienced IPV	1		1		1	
Experienced IPV	.48**	(.356 - .763)	.53**	(.358 - .771)	.58*	(.35 - .96)
Barriers to Care						
No expressed barriers			1		1	
Expressed barriers			.83	(.478 - 1.45)	.83	(.47 - 1.44)
Mental Health						
MCS as a continuous variable			1.03**	(1.01 - 1.04)	1.03**	(1.01 - 1.04)
Drug use						
Never used drugs			1		1	
Past drug use			.95	(.60 - 1.5)	.94	(.59 - 1.48)
Current drug use			.61*	(.353 - 1.5)	.6+	(.35 - 1.04)
Other Variables						
IPV& gender interaction					1.05	(.49 - 2.25)
Women	1		1		1	
Heterosexual men	.93	(.62 - 1.4)	1.1	(.69 - 1.74)	1.16	(.70 - 1.92)
MSM	1.46	(.85 - 2.5)	1.6 *	(.1 - 2.59)	1.8+	(.97 - 3.32)
Age as a continuous variable	1.27	(.92 - 1.8)	1.24	(.89 - 1.7)	1.24	(.89 - 1.72)
Ethnicity						
Caucasion	1		1		1	
Black	.78	(.46 - 1.3)	.75	(.43 - 1.31)	.75	(.43 - 1.31)
Latino	1.06	(.6 - 1.9)	1.08	(.64 - 2.17)	1.07	(.59 - 1.96)

Table 3. Multivariate models of predictors of adherence to medications Continued

n=619	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Educational level						
Less than high school diploma	1		1		1	
High school diploma and higher	1.23	(.87 -1.9)	1.37	(.93 - 2.03)	1.37	(.93 - 2.02)

Note: + p<.10 *p<.05 ** p<.01 *** p<.000

Discussion and Conclusion

HIV+ individuals endure a considerable number of obstacles that can impede good health such as adherence to medication regimes. The evidence from this study adds one more factor to that list, the experience of adult interpersonal violence. This study finds a high prevalence of adult IPV among both women and men. Consistent with earlier research literature, the study findings indicate that the experience of adult IPV may have serious residual effects which resonate beyond the immediate health consequences resulting from the episode of violence itself. Specifically, adult IPV is associated with poorer mental health, expressed barriers to medical care and to a lesser extent continuing substance use. Whether through these or other factors findings from this study indicate IPV is connected to decreased adherence to anti retroviral medications. The associations of adult IPV with decreased adherence to medications persist after controlling illicit drug use, mental health status and expressed barriers to care.

This study suggests that the impact of interpersonal violence among HIV+ adults is an independent factor influencing behaviors and perceived experiences with health care providers. Unlike past research on adult IPV, this study examined the prevalence of adult violence within a sample of men and women engaged in the health care system. Most investigations of adult IPV and health outcomes are conducted with women who may be at risk of experiencing some type of violence, for example sex workers or illicit drug users (3,7,9,19,20,29,36,41). Our logistic analyses did not demonstrate gender as significant contributor in predicting adherence to medications. However, consistent with other studies, current illicit drug use was noted in our examination as a predictor in adherence to medication regimes. Considering that our study sample and regression analyses included both men and women the results presented here are strongly suggestive that the impact of adult IPV is not restricted to gender. Furthermore, the purpose of this examination was not to differentiate between types of adult IPV, i.e., by a partner or non-partner. The goal of this paper was to demonstrate adult IPV’s overall influence on health outcomes rather than to distinguish between types of IPV and their associations.

The variables of poor mental health, drug use history and perceived barriers to medical care are undoubtedly factors interceding in the pathway to better health among HIV+ adults (7,8,9,10, 19, 20). However, results from this study indicate they do not undermine the association of adult IPV with adherence to medications. Efforts put forth by service providers to manage the residual consequences of IPV would be ineffective without giving consideration to the unique circumstances that surround each case, such as mental health status, drug use, and cultural

concerns. The concept of lasting effects makes identifying patients affected by adult IPV a more difficult endeavor, and it stresses the need for positive patient and provider encounters. Addressing the usual concerns for HIV+ populations from a policy perspective seems a daunting task, yet realizing that a past or present history of adult IPV may drastically reduce an individual's ability to adhere to HIV treatments, regardless of gender, should be a catalyst to seeing the larger picture. From a planning perspective the findings from this study underscore the need for implementation of a comprehensive IPV screening tool in health care settings which serve at risk or marginal populations such as the HIV+ community. Implementing IPV screening will assist in identifying patients who may benefit from HIV treatment adherence programs.

References

1. Beadnell, B, Baker, SA, Morrison, DM, Knox, K. HIV/STD Risk factors for women with violent male partners *Sex Roles: A Journal of research* 2000;661-685
2. Ompad, D.C., Ikeda, R.M., Shah, N., Fuller, C., Bailey, S., Morse, E., Kerndt, P., Maslow, C., Wu, Y., Vlahov, D., Garfein R., Strathdee, S.A., 2005 Childhood sexual abuse and age at initiation of Injection drug use. *American Journal of Public Health* April 2005 Vol 95 No 4, 703-709.
3. Wu, E., El-Bassel, N., Witte, S.S., Gilbert, L., Change, M.. Intimate Partner violence and HIV risk among urban minority women in primary health care settings. *AIDS Behavior* 2003 Sept; 7(3): 291-301.
4. Plitcha, S.B., 2004. Intimate partner violence and physical health consequences. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 2004 Vol 19 No 11 1296-1323.
5. CDC National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Sexual Violence Fact Sheet, 2005 <http://www.cdc.gov/ncipc/factsheets/svfacts.htm> (accessed 12/03/2005)
6. El-Bassel, N., Gilbert, L., Wu, E., Go, G., Hill, J. HIV and intimate partner violence among methadone maintained women in New York City. *Social Science and Medicine*; 61(1), 171-183
7. Cohen, MH, Cook, JA, Grey, D, Young, M, et al Medically eligible women who do not use HAART: The importance of abuse, drug use and race. *American Journal of Public Health* 2004; 94(7):1147-1151.
8. Adam, BD, Maticka-Tyndale, E, Cohen, JJ, Adherence Practices among people living with HIV *AIDS Care* 2003; 15:263-274.
9. El-Bassel, N., Gilbert, L., Golder, S., Chang, M., Fontedevila, J., Sanders, G. De-constructing the relationship between intimate partner violence and sexual HIV risk among drug involved men and their female partners. *AIDS Behavior* 2004 Dec 8(4): 429-39.
10. Gielen, A.C., McDonnell, KA, O'Campo, P.J., Burke, J.G. Suicide risk and mental health indicators: Do they differ by abuse and HIV status. *Womens Health issues* 2005 Mar-Apr;15(2): 89-95.
11. Krug, E.G., Dalhberg, L.L., Mercy, J.A., Zwi, A.B., Lozano, R., Editors *World Report on Violence and Health* Geneva World Health Organization 2002.
12. US Department of Health and Human Services National Clearing House on Child Abuse and Neglect Child Maltreatment 2003: Summary of Key Findings, 2005 <http://nccanch.acf.hhs.gov/pubs/factsheets/canstats.cfm> (accessed 12/03/2005).
13. Lee, G. Abramson, DA Baseline Summary of NYC II Cohort 2004. Mailman School of Public Health
14. Abramson, DA Tri-County CHAIN Baseline Cohort Characteristics and Socio Demographics 2002 Mailman School of Public Health Medical and Health Research Association Report to the New York city Department of Mental Health and Hygiene.
15. Abramson, DA, Bennet, B Tri-County CHAIN Fieldnotes: Recruiting a Longitudinal Cohort 2002 Mailman School of Public Health . Medical and Health Research Association Report to the New York City Department of Mental Health and Hygiene.
16. Campbell, J.C., Health Consequences of Intimate Partner Violence *Lancet* 2002 Vol 359 1331- 1336.
17. US Department of Health and Human Services Human Resources and Services Administration The Client Diagnostic Questionnaire <http://hab.hrsa.gov/tools/topics/cdq.htm> (accessed 12/21/2005).
18. Medrano, M.A., Hatch, J.P., Zule, W.A., Desmond, D.P. Childhood trauma and adult prostitution behavior in a multiethnic hetero sexual drug using population *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, Vol :29 No 2 463-484.
19. Burke, J.G., Knab Thieman, L., Gielen, A., O'Campo, P., McDonnell, KA Intimate partner violence, substance use and HIV among low income women *Violence Against Women*, Vol 11 No9, 1140-1161.
20. McDonnell KA, Gielen AC, O'Campo P, Burke JG. Abuse, HIV status and health-related quality of life among a sample of HIV positive and HIV negative low income women. *Quality of Life Research* 2005 May;14(4):945-57.
21. Ware, J., SF-36® Health Survey Update <http://www.sf-36.org/tools/sf36.shtml> (accessed 12/22/2005)
22. Mello VA, Malbergier A, Depression in women infected with HIV. *Revista Brasileiro Psiquiatria* .2006 Mar;28(1):10-7. Epub 2006 Mar 24.
23. Stefan, M.D., Catalan, J. Psychiatric patients and HIV infection: a new population at risk? *Bri Journal of Psychiatry* 1995 ; 167: 721-727.
24. Vedhara, K., Schifitto, G., McDermott, M. Disease progression in HIV positive women with moderate to severe immunosuppression: The role of depression. *Dana Consortium on Therapy for HIV Dementia and related Cognitive Disorders, Behavioral Medicine* 1999; 25: 43-47.
25. Griffin, KW, Rabkin JG Remien, RH et al Disease severity, physical limitations and depression in HIV

- infected men. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 1998;44(2): 219-227.
26. Ware, JE The Short Form 36 Health Survey” frm Measuring Health ed McDowell &Newell, 2nd ed. 1996:p 446-456.
 27. Ware, JE Gandel, B Overview of the SF-36 Health Survey and the International Quality of Life Assessment Project. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 1998; 51(11):903-912.
 28. Cunningham, W.E., Bozzette, S.A., Hays, R.D., et al Comparison of health related quality of life in clinical trial and non clinical trial human immunodeficiency virus infected cohorts. *Medical Care* 1995; 33: AS15-AS25.
 29. Koenig, L, Whitaker, D, Royce, R, et al Violence during pregnancy among women with or at risk for HIV infection
 30. Nusbaum, MRH, York-Frasier, P, Zimmerman, SP, Pyles, AA Do sexual health care needs differ for women with and without histories of abuse? *Violence Against women* 2004; 10:294-311.
 31. Gore-Felton, C Koopman, C Traumatic experiences: Harbinger of risk behavior among HIV positive adults *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*.2002; 3(4):121-135.
 32. McNutt, L., Van Ryn M., Clar, C., Frasier, I. Partner violence and medical encounters: African American women’s perspectives. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2000; 19:264-269.
 33. 25. Ware, NC, Wyatt, MA, Tugenberg, T. Adherence, stereotyping and unequal HIV treatment for active users of illegal drugs. *Social Science and Medicine* 2005; 61:565-576.
 34. Martinez, A, Isrealski, D., Walker, C, Koopman, C, Posttraumatic Stress disorder in women attending immuno deficiency virus outpatient clines *AIDS Patient Care & STD’s* 2002; 16(6):283-291.
 35. Halkitis, PN, Kutnick, AH, Slater, S. The social realties of adherence to protease inhibitor regimes, substance use, health care and psychological states. *Journal of Health Psychology*; Vol 10(4): 545-558.
 36. Brown-Peterside, P, Ren,L, Chiasson, MA, Koblin, Beryl A. Double Trouble: Violent and non-violent traumas among women at sexual risk of HIV infection. *Women & Health* 2002; 36(3):51-64
 37. Abramson, DA Service Gaps 2005 Mailman School of Public Health .
 38. Abramson, DA, Tri-County CHAIN 2005_2 Trends in treatment adherence and HAART 2005 Mailman School of Public Health
 39. Daeppen, J.B., Kreig, M.A., Burnand, B., Yersin, B. MOS-SF_36 in evaluating health related quality of life in alcohol dependent patients. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*. 1998; Vol 24:4 685-692.
 40. Finlayson, T.J., Saltzman, L.E., Sheridan, D.J., Taylor, W.K., Estimating hospital charges associated with intimate partner violence. *Violence Against women*, 1999; Vol 5:3 313-335.
 41. El-Bassel, N, Gilber, L., Frye, V., Wu, E., et al. Physical and sexual intimate partner violence among women in methadone maintenance treatment. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors* 2004: 18(2); 180-183.
 42. Feldman, B., Diaz, R. Intimate partner violence and HIV sexual risk in Latino gay men: the role of sexual self-efficacy and participation in difficult sexual situations. Society for Social work Research poster presentation January 13 2006 2pm.
 43. Greenwood, G., Relf, M., Huang, B., Pollack,L., et al. Battering victimization among a probability based sample of men who have sex with men. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2002; 92(12): 1964-1969.
 44. Relf, M., Huang, B., Campbell, J.Cantinia, J., Gay identity, interpersonal violence, and HIV risk behaviors: an empirical test of theoretical relationships among a probability based sample of urban men who have sex with men. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care*. 2004; 15(2): 14-26.
 45. Aidala, A., Davis, N. Exposure to trauma and violence among person living with HIV 2003 Mailman School of Public Health. Medical and Health Research Association Report to the New York city Department of Mental Health and Hygiene